NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATION



AC/323(MSG-085)TP/640

STO TECHNICAL REPORT



organization www.sto.nato.int

## Standardisation for C2-Simulation Interoperation

(Standardisation pour l'interopération SIC-simulation)

Final Report of MSG-085.



Published November 2015



NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATION



AC/323(MSG-085)TP/640

STO TECHNICAL REPORT



TR-MSG-085

## Standardisation for C2-Simulation Interoperation

(Standardisation pour l'interopération SIC-simulation)

Final Report of MSG-085.

This document contains information authorized by NATO CSO for unlimited release and distribution. Any product or trademark identified in this document provides an example, not a recommendation. This document does not present the official policy of any participating nation organization. It consolidates principles and guidelines for improving the impact of Modelling and Simulation (M&S) as a lead Science and Technology (S&T) investment on military capabilities as well as Defence Against Terrorism (DAT) capabilities. All organizations are invited to use and benefit from such guidance.





## The NATO Science and Technology Organization

Science & Technology (S&T) in the NATO context is defined as the selective and rigorous generation and application of state-of-the-art, validated knowledge for defence and security purposes. S&T activities embrace scientific research, technology development, transition, application and field-testing, experimentation and a range of related scientific activities that include systems engineering, operational research and analysis, synthesis, integration and validation of knowledge derived through the scientific method.

In NATO, S&T is addressed using different business models, namely a collaborative business model where NATO provides a forum where NATO Nations and partner Nations elect to use their national resources to define, conduct and promote cooperative research and information exchange, and secondly an in-house delivery business model where S&T activities are conducted in a NATO dedicated executive body, having its own personnel, capabilities and infrastructure.

The mission of the NATO Science & Technology Organization (STO) is to help position the Nations' and NATO's S&T investments as a strategic enabler of the knowledge and technology advantage for the defence and security posture of NATO Nations and partner Nations, by conducting and promoting S&T activities that augment and leverage the capabilities and programmes of the Alliance, of the NATO Nations and the partner Nations, in support of NATO's objectives, and contributing to NATO's ability to enable and influence security and defence related capability development and threat mitigation in NATO Nations and partner Nations, in accordance with NATO policies.

The total spectrum of this collaborative effort is addressed by six Technical Panels who manage a wide range of scientific research activities, a Group specialising in modelling and simulation, plus a Committee dedicated to supporting the information management needs of the organization.

- AVT Applied Vehicle Technology Panel
- HFM Human Factors and Medicine Panel
- IST Information Systems Technology Panel
- NMSG NATO Modelling and Simulation Group
- SAS System Analysis and Studies Panel
- SCI Systems Concepts and Integration Panel
- SET Sensors and Electronics Technology Panel

These Panels and Group are the power-house of the collaborative model and are made up of national representatives as well as recognised world-class scientists, engineers and information specialists. In addition to providing critical technical oversight, they also provide a communication link to military users and other NATO bodies.

The scientific and technological work is carried out by Technical Teams, created under one or more of these eight bodies, for specific research activities which have a defined duration. These research activities can take a variety of forms, including Task Groups, Workshops, Symposia, Specialists' Meetings, Lecture Series and Technical Courses.

The content of this publication has been reproduced directly from material supplied by STO or the authors.

Published November 2015

Copyright © STO/NATO 2015 All Rights Reserved

ISBN 978-92-837-2017-1

Single copies of this publication or of a part of it may be made for individual use only by those organisations or individuals in NATO Nations defined by the limitation notice printed on the front cover. The approval of the STO Information Management Systems Branch is required for more than one copy to be made or an extract included in another publication. Requests to do so should be sent to the address on the back cover.





## **Table of Contents**

	Page
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
List of Acronyms	X
Acknowledgements	xiv
Key Audiences	XV
MSG-085 Membership List	xvi

## Executive Summary and Synthèse

**ES-1** 

Cha	pter 1 –	- Introduction	1-1
1.1	Docum	nent Overview	1-1
1.2	Why St	tandardize C2SIM Interoperability?	1-1
1.3	Previou	us Work by NATO on Standardization for C2SIM Interoperation	1-3
	1.3.1	NATO MSG-048	1-3
	1.3.2	NATO MSG-079	1-4
1.4	C2SIM	I Interoperability	1-4
	1.4.1	Coalition Battle Management Language (C-BML)	1-4
		1.4.1.1 Practical Definition of C-BML	1-5
		1.4.1.2 SISO C-BML Product Development Plan	1-6
		1.4.1.3 C-BML Vocabulary and Grammar Considerations	1-9
		1.4.1.4 C-BML Ontology	1-9
		1.4.1.5 C-BML Development Process and Tools – From Phase 1 to Phase 2	1-10
	1.4.2	Military Scenario Definition Language (MSDL)	1-11
	1.4.3	Distributed Simulation Engineering and Execution Process (DSEEP)	1-11
Chaj	pter 2 –	- MSG-085 Overview	2-1
2.1	Backgr	ound	2-1
2.2	Objecti	ives	2-1
2.3	Activiti	ies	2-2
	2.3.1	Requirements Analysis	2-2
	2.3.2	Lessons Learned and Recommendations	2-3
	2.3.3	Demonstrations, Experimentation and Evaluation	2-3
	2.3.4	Communication Events, Workshops and Symposia	2-3
2.4	MSG-0	085 Organisation	2-4
2.5	Commo	on Interest Group (CIG) Approach	2-4
	2.5.1	Common Interest Group Organisation	2-5
	2.5.2	MSG-085 Common Interest Groups	2-5





	2.5.2.1	MSG-085 Autonomous Air Operations (AAO) CIG	2-6
	2.5.2.2	MSG-085 Land Operations CIG	2-7
	2.5.2.3	MSG-085 Maritime Operations CIG	2-8
	2.5.2.4	MSG-085 Joint Mission Planning CIG	2-8
	2.5.2.5	Technical MSDL/C-BML Messaging Infrastructure CIG	2-10
	2.5.2.6	MSG-085 2RS CIG	2-10
2.6	Deliverables		2-11
Cha	pter 3 – Requii	ements for C2SIM Interoperation	3-1
3.1	Operational Req	uirements	3-1
	3.1.1 Operati	onal Concept Description – Course of Action Analysis	3-1
	3.1.2 Operati	onal Concept Description – Command Post Training	3-3
3.2	Domain-Specific	c Considerations	3-7
	3.2.1 Air Ope	erations	3-7
	3.2.2 Land O	perations	3-9
	3.2.2.1	Task Parameters	3-10
	3.2.2.2	Task Geometry	3-10
	3.2.2.3	Observation Reports	3-10
	3.2.2.4	C2 Systems Overwhelmed	3-11
	3.2.2.5	Systems are Very Sensitive with Time	3-11
	3.2.3 Maritim	ne Operations	3-11
3.3	Technical Requi	rements	3-12
Cha	pter 4 – The Us	se of MIP Products for C2SIM Standardization	4-1
4.1	MIP Products O	verview	4-1
	4.1.1 The MI	P Information Model	4-1
	4.1.2 MIP Ch	ange Process and Tools	4-3
4.2	A Process and T	oolset for C2SIM Interoperability Standard Development	4-6
Cha	pter 5 – Experi	ments, Workshops and Conferences	5-1
5.1	Experimentation	Event Planning Guide	5-1
	5.1.1 Types of	of Experimentation Events	5-2
	5.1.2 Experim	nentation Event Documentation	5-2
	5.1.3 Experim	nentation Event Organization	5-3
5.2	April 2011 – BN	1L Research Symposium	5-4
5.3	May 2011 – ITE	C Demonstration	5-4
	5.3.1 Goals		5-5
	5.3.2 Archite	cture	5-5
	5.3.3 Results		5-5
5.4	December 2011	– I/ITSEC	5-5
	5.4.1 Goals		5-6
	5.4.2 Archite	cture	5-6
	5.4.3 Results		5-7
5.5	September 2012	– BML Research Symposium	5-8
5.6	November 2012	– CIG Workshop	5-9
		· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	





57	Daaam	har 2012 UITSEC Demonstration	5.0
5.7	5 7 1	Goals	5-10
	572	Architecture	5-10
	573	Results	5-14
58	Decem	her 2012 – MSG-119 C2SIM Interoperability Workshop	5-14
5.9	April 2	013 – Spring SISO Interoperability Workshop	5-14
5.10	June 20	13 - C2SIM Interoperability Session During ICCRTS 2013	5-15
5 1 1	Decem	her 2013 U/ITSEC Demonstration	5 16
5.11	5 11 1	Goals	5-16
	5 11 2	Architecture	5-16
	5 11 3	Results	5-17
5 1 2	Decem	her 2013 – Final Demonstration US Army MCBI	5-17
5.12	5 12 1	Goals	5-18
	5 12 2	Architecture	5-18
	5 12 3	Results	5-19
5 13	June 20	14 - C2SIM Interoperability Session during ICCRTS 2014	5-19
5 14	Octobe	r 2014 – C2SIM Interoperability Workshop	5-20
5.14	5 14 1	Scone	5-20
	5 14 2	Registration	5-20
	5 14 3	Agenda	5-20
Cha	pter 6 –	- Lessons Identified and Lessons Learned	6-1
61	Variabi	ility of C2SIM Interoperation Requirements (LL)	6-1
6.2	Combi	ned Standard Scenario Definition Initialisation and Execution (LL)	6-2
0.2	621	C2SIM Core (LI)	6-3
	6.2.2	Need to Create Unified C2SIM Standard (LL)	6-3
	6.2.3	Standardising Core Data Model versus Schema (LI)	6-3
	6.2.4	C2SIM Standard Extensibility (LI)	6-4
	6.2.5	C2LG Tasking Grammar (LL)	6-4
		6.2.5.1 Pragmatic Approach (LL)	6-4
	6.2.6	Advanced Grammar Approaches (LI)	6-5
		6.2.6.1 Production Rules versus Business Rules (LI)	6-5
		6.2.6.2 Lexical Functional Grammar Approaches (LI)	6-6
6.3	Need to	o Formally Manage Standard Products (LL)	6-6
	6.3.1	Maintain Logical Data Model, Generate Derived Products (LL)	6-6
	6.3.2	Standardisation of the Process and Production Chain (LL)	6-7
6.4	C2SIM	Infrastructure Lessons Learned (LL)	6-7
	6.4.1	Supportability of Coalition C2SIM Interoperation (LL)	6-7
	6.4.2	Distributed Use of Coalition C2SIM Interoperation (LL)	6-7
	6.4.3	Combining Various Versions of MSDL/C-BML (LI)	6-8
6.5	Need a	C2SIM DSEEP Overlay (LI)	6-8
	6.5.1	A Standardised Process for the Use of MSDL/C-BML for Building C2SIM Federations	6-8
	6.5.2	Stakeholders Include Both C2 and Simulation Communities (LI)	6-8
	6.5.3	End-Users' Perception of Federation Execution (LI)	6-9
	6.5.4	C2SIM Reference Architecture, Services (LI)	6-9





<ul> <li>6.5.5 Time Management (LI)</li> <li>6.6 Future Requirements for C2SIM Interoperation (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1 Ontology and Business Rules (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1 Ontology and Business Rules (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.1 Validation and Construction (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.2 Message Correction (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.3 Message Conversion (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.4 Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)</li> <li>6.7 Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)</li> <li>6.7.1 C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)</li> <li>6.7.2 Logistic Domain (LL)</li> <li>6.7.3 Acknowledgments (LL)</li> <li>6.8 Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)</li> <li>6.8.1 Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)</li> <li>6.8.2 Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)</li> <li>6.8.3 Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)</li> </ul>	-10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -11 -11 -11 -11
<ul> <li>6.6 Future Requirements for C2SIM Interoperation (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1 Ontology and Business Rules (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.1 Validation and Construction (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.2 Message Correction (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.3 Message Conversion (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.4 Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)</li> <li>6.7 Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)</li> <li>6.7.1 C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)</li> <li>6.7.2 Logistic Domain (LL)</li> <li>6.7.3 Acknowledgments (LL)</li> <li>6.8 Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)</li> <li>6.8.1 Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)</li> <li>6.8.2 Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)</li> <li>6.8.3 Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)</li> </ul>	-10 -10 -10 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -12 -12
<ul> <li>6.6.1 Ontology and Business Rules (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.1 Validation and Construction (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.2 Message Correction (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.3 Message Conversion (LI)</li> <li>6.6.1.4 Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)</li> <li>6.7 Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)</li> <li>6.7.1 C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)</li> <li>6.7.2 Logistic Domain (LL)</li> <li>6.7.3 Acknowledgments (LL)</li> <li>6.8 Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)</li> <li>6.8.1 Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)</li> <li>6.8.2 Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)</li> <li>6.8.3 Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)</li> </ul>	-10 -10 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -12 -12
6.6.1.1Validation and Construction (LI)6-6.6.1.2Message Correction (LI)6-6.6.1.3Message Conversion (LI)6-6.6.1.4Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)6-6.7Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)6-6.7.1C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)6-6.7.2Logistic Domain (LL)6-6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-10 -10 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -12 -12
6.6.1.2Message Correction (LI)6-6.6.1.3Message Conversion (LI)6-6.6.1.4Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)6-6.7Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)6-6.7.1C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)6-6.7.2Logistic Domain (LL)6-6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-10 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -12 -12
6.6.1.3Message Conversion (LI)6-6.6.1.4Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)6-6.7Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)6-6.7.1C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)6-6.7.2Logistic Domain (LL)6-6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-11 -11 -11 -11 -12 -12
6.6.1.4Specifying Task Qualifiers, Triggers and other Criteria (LI)6-6.7Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)6-6.7.1C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)6-6.7.2Logistic Domain (LL)6-6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-11 -11 -11 -12 -12
<ul> <li>6.7 Validation of Operational Relevance (LL)</li> <li>6.7.1 C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)</li> <li>6.7.2 Logistic Domain (LL)</li> <li>6.7.3 Acknowledgments (LL)</li> <li>6.8 Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)</li> <li>6.8.1 Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)</li> <li>6.8.2 Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)</li> <li>6.8.3 Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)</li> </ul>	-11 -11 -12 -12
6.7.1C2-to-C2 Exchanges (LL)6-6.7.2Logistic Domain (LL)6-6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-11 -12 -12
6.7.2Logistic Domain (LL)6-6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-12 -12
6.7.3Acknowledgments (LL)6-6.8Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)6-6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-12
6.8       Need for Increased Stakeholder Involvement (LI)       6-         6.8.1       Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)       6-         6.8.2       Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)       6-         6.8.3       Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)       6-	
6.8.1Challenges to Engage Industry (LI)6-6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-	-12
6.8.2Employment of C2SIM Interoperability Technologies (LI)6-6.8.3Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL)6-6.9Descionent from Fronds LD and LD	-12
6.8.3 Need for NATO Lecture Series (LL) 6-	-12
$(0 - \mathbf{D}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	-13
6.9 Kequirement for a Funded Development Activity (LI) 6-	-13
Chapter 7 – Conclusions and Recommendations 7	/-1
7.1 Operationalise MSDL and C-BML Standards 77	7-1
7.2 Educate Broader Community on C2SIM Technology Employment 7	7-1
7.3 Advance C2SIM Interoperability 77	7-2
7.4 Support Next Generation of C2SIM Interoperability Standards Development	7-2
Chapter 8 – References 8	3-1
Annex A – MSG-085 External Event Agendas and Posters A	-1
A.1 April 2011 – BML Research Symposium	<b>\-1</b>
A.2 I/ITSEC 2011 Demonstration A	<b>\-</b> 2
A.3 September 2012 – BML Symposium Agenda A	<b>\-3</b>
A.4 November 2012 – CIG Workshop A	4-5
A.5 December 2012 – I/ITSEC Demonstration A	
A.6 MSG-119 C2SIM Interoperability Workshop A	۸-6





# **List of Figures**

Figure		Page
Figure 1-1	C2-Simulation Interoperability Standardization Benefits	1-1
Figure 1-2	Graphical C-BML Example Illustrating 5Ws	1-5
Figure 1-3	Simplified C-BML XML Example	1-6
Figure 1-4	SISO C-BML Overview	1-7
Figure 1-5	SISO C-BML Phase 1 Schema Structure	1-8
Figure 2-1	MSG-085 Technical Activity Objectives	2-1
Figure 2-2	MSG-085 Activities and Events Overview	2-2
Figure 2-3	MSG-085 Organisation	2-4
Figure 2-4	Common Interest Group Approach	2-5
Figure 2-5	MSG-085 Common Interest Groups	2-6
Figure 2-6	Collaborative Joint Mission Planning Process	2-9
Figure 3-1	View of Proposed System and Interactions for Operational Planning	3-3
Figure 3-2	Current Typical Command Post Training Environment	3-4
Figure 3-3	Proposed First Step for Command Post Training Environment	3-5
Figure 3-4	Proposed Future Command Post Training Environment	3-6
Figure 3-5	Proposed Future CP Training Environment w/OPFOR and FLANCON in DISTAFF	3-7
Figure 3-6	C-BML-Enabled Air Operations: Operational Messages Covered	3-9
Figure 3-7	C2SIM Interoperability Technical Requirements Overview	3-13
Figure 4-1	MIM Core Classes	4-3
Figure 4-2	MIM Tools Overview	4-4
Figure 4-3	MIP Model Editor	4-5
Figure 4-4	SINEX Process Overview	4-7
Figure 4-5	SINEX Production Chain	4-7
Figure 4-6	SINEX Inputs and Outputs	4-8
Figure 4-7	SINEX Prototype Tool	4-8
Figure 5-1	Experimentation Event Organizational Structure	5-3
Figure 5-2	ITEC 2011 MSG-085 Scenario Initialization Demonstration	5-4
Figure 5-3	Air Reconnaissance Vignette	5-6
Figure 5-4	Combined Operations and Logistics Vignette and Architecture	5-7
Figure 5-5	Ground Manoeuvre Vignette and Architecture	5-8
Figure 5-6	September 2012 BML Research Symposium Overview	5-9





Figure 5-7	BML-Enabled Air Ops Context	5-11
Figure 5-8	BML-Enabled Air Ops Architecture	5-11
Figure 5-9	Land Ops Demo Context	5-12
Figure 5-10	Land Ops Demo Architecture	5-12
Figure 5-11	Air/Land Recce Demo Context	5-13
Figure 5-12	Air/Land Recce Demo Architecture	5-13
Figure 5-13	I/ITSEC 2013 Scenario	5-16
Figure 5-14	I/ITSEC 2013 Architecture	5-17
Figure 5-15	MSG-085 Final Joint and Combined Mission Planning Demonstration Architecture	5-18
Figure 6-1	Sustaining versus Disruptive Technologies	6-2





## List of Tables

Table		Page
Table 5-1	Experimentation Event Roles and Responsibilities	5-3
Table 5-2	MSG-085 CIG Papers Presented at Spring 2013 SISO Workshop	5-14
Table 5-3	MSG-085 Papers Presented at ICCRTS 2013	5-15
Table 5-4	Industry Presentations Presented at ICCRTS 2013 C2SIM Session	5-15
Table 6-1	Example Rules of Engagement	6-11





# List of Acronyms

2R	Requirements and Recommendations
AAO	Autonomous Air Operations
AAR	After Action Review
ACM	Air Control Measure
ACMR	Airspace Control Means Request
ACO	Airspace Control Order
ADatP-3	Allied Data Publication-3
AMPHIB	Amphibious
AMSO	Army Modeling and Simulation Office (US)
APLET	Aide à la Planification d'Engagement Tactique terrestre
AST	Abstract Syntax Tree
ASUW	Anti-Surface Warfare
ATO	Air Tasking Order
BML	Battle Management Language
C2	Command and Control
C2IEDM	Command and Control Information Exchange Data Model
C2IS	Command and Control Information System
C2LG	Command and Control Lexical Grammar
C2PC	Command and Control Personal Computer
C2SIM	C2-to-Simulation
C4I	Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence
C4ISR	Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and
	Reconnaissance
C4ISTAR	Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Information/Intelligence, Surveillance,
	Targeting Acquisition and Reconnaissance
CAN	Canada
CAPES	Combined Arms Planning and Execution System
C-BML	Coalition Battle Management Language
CBMS	Coalition Battle Management Services
CC	Communication Coordinator
CD&E	Concept Development and Experimentation
CGF	Computer-Generated Forces
CIG	Common Interest Group
CITT	C-BML Industry Task Team
COA	Course of Action
COAA	Course of Action Analysis
COI	Community of Interest
CONEMP	Concept of Employment
CONOPS	Concept of Operations
COP	Common Operational Picture
COPD	Comprehensive Operations Planning Directive
СР	Change Process
CSO	Collaboration Support Office
CST	Concrete Syntax Tree
DIS	Distributed Interactive Simulation
DISTAFF	Directing Staff
DSEEP	Distributed Simulation Engineering and Execution Process





DSS	Decision Support System
DTG	Date Time Group
eCOA	enemy Course Of Action
EEL	Experimentation Event Lead
EEPG	Experimentation Event Planning Guide
ET	Exploratory Team
EXDIR	Exercise Director
FKIE	Fraunhofer Institute for Communication, Information Processing and Ergonomics
FOM	Federation Object Model
FRA	France
FRAGO	Fragmentary Order
FTRT	Faster Than Real-Time
FW	Fixed-Wing
GBR	Great Britain
GMU	George Mason University
GUI	Graphic User Interface
HLA	High Level Architecture
HN	Hosting Nation
HQ	Headquarters
I/ITSEC	Interservice/Industry Training, Simulation and Education Conference
ICC	Integrated Command and Control
ICCRTS	International Command and Control Research and Technology Symposium
IEM	Information Exchange Mechanism
IER	Information Exchange Requirements
IGS	Interactive Gaming Solution
ITEC	International Training and Education Conference
JADOCS	Joint Automated Deep Operations Coordination System
JC3IEDM	Joint Consultation Command and Control Information Exchange Data Model
JCHAT	Joint Chat system
JCW	Joint and Coalition Warfighting (US)
JMP	Joint Mission Planning
JSAF	Joint Semi-Automated Forces
JSON	Java Serialized Object Notation
LFG	Lexical Functional Grammar
LI	Lesson Identified
LL	Lessons Learned
LLI	Lessons Learned Information
LOCON	Low Controller
LVC	Live Virtual Constructive
M&S	Modelling and Simulation
MAGTF	Marine Air-Ground Task Force
MCBL	Mission Command Battle Laboratory
MDA	Model-Driven Architecture
MDMP	Military Decision-Making Process
MIL-STD	Military Standard
MIM	MIP Information Model
MIP	Multilateral Interoperability Programme
MOE	Measures Of Effectiveness





MOP	Measures Of Performance
MR	Mission Rehearsal
MSDL	Military Scenario Definition Language
MSG	Modelling and Simulation Group
MTWS	MAGTF Tactical Warfare System
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NCIA	NATO Communications and Information Agency
NOR	Norway
NORTaC-C2IS	NORwegian Tactical and Combat C2IS
NSN	NATO Stock Number
00	Operational Coordinator
OCD	Operational Concept Description
OCL	Object Constraint Language
ODM	Ontology Definition Metamodel
OIEG	Operations Intent and Effects Grammar
OLPP	Operational-Level Planning Process
OMG	Object Management Group
OneSAF	One Semi-Automated Forces simulation
OOB ORBAT	Order of Battle
OPFOR	Opposing Forces
OPGEN	General Operational Message
OPORD	Operations Order
OPSTAT	Operational Statistics
OPTASK	Operational Task
OSG	Operational Sub Group
OTC	Officer in Tactical Command
OWL	Web Ontology Language
PDG	Product Development Group
PIM	Platform Independent Model
POW	Programme Of Work
PSM	Platform-Specific Model
RECCE	Reconnaissance
RIF	Rules Interchange Format
ROE	Rules Of Engagement
ROI	Return On Investment
RRS or 2RS	Requirements. Recommendations and Specifications
RW	Rotary-Wing
SA	Situational Awareness
SBML	Scripted Battle Management Language
SDA	System Design Agreements
SDEM	
SDF	Simulation Data Exchange Model
~	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework
SE	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering
SE SG	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group
SE SG SICF	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces
SE SG SICF SINEX	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces Scenario Initialisation and Execution
SE SG SICF SINEX SIR	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces Scenario Initialisation and Execution Système d'Information Régimentaire
SE SG SICF SINEX SIR SISO	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces Scenario Initialisation and Execution Système d'Information Régimentaire Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization
SE SG SICF SINEX SIR SISO SIW	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces Scenario Initialisation and Execution Système d'Information Régimentaire Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization SISO Interoperability Workshop
SE SG SICF SINEX SIR SISO SIW SME	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces Scenario Initialisation and Execution Système d'Information Régimentaire Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization SISO Interoperability Workshop Subject-Matter Expert
SE SG SICF SINEX SIR SISO SIW SME SOPES	Simulation Data Exchange Model Standard Development Framework Systems Engineering Study Group Système d'Information pour le Commandement des Forces Scenario Initialisation and Execution Système d'Information Régimentaire Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization SISO Interoperability Workshop Subject-Matter Expert Shared Operational Picture Exchange Services





SSS	System/Sub-system Specification
STANAG	STANdardization AGreement
ТА	Technical Activity
TAP	Technical Activity Proposal
TBMCS	Theater Battle Management Core Systems
ТС	Technical Coordinator
TOPFAS	Tools for Operational Planning Functional Area Service
TRL	Technical Readiness Level
TSG	Technical Sub Group
ТТР	Techniques, Tactics, Procedures
UAS	Unmanned Air System
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UML	Unified Modelling Language
UN	United Nations
UN CEFACT	United Nations Center for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business
US/USA	United States of America
USMTF	US Message Text Format
UVS	Unmanned Vehicle System
VMASC	Virginia Modeling and Simulation Center
VPN	Virtual Private Network
VV&A	Verification, Validation & Accreditation
WARNO	Warning Order
WISE	Widely Integrated Systems Environment
XML	Extensible Markup Language





## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their acknowledgements first and foremost to CSO and NMSCO who were very supportive throughout the execution of the MSG-085 Technical Activity (TA). They provided helpful recommendations, materials and facilities to perform demonstrations during multiple international events, including the means to advertise these demonstrations and thereby reach a large audience of key stakeholders. This support was beneficial and key to the TA success.

The successful completion of the TA is also due to the real involvement of military organizations and experts who shared their knowledge and experience with the other TA members in order to augment the operational relevance and rationales of C2SIM interoperability. Special thanks go to the United States Mission Command Battle Laboratory who offered to host the final TA demonstration and provided a team of senior military experts to support this event.

Finally, the involvement of the MSG-085 Technical Group members also was significant in meeting the TA objectives and achievements. Their considerable technical contribution allowed for defining a C2SIM exchange infrastructure. Also, the extensive work performed by the group to adapt national systems during demonstration preparation was key to demonstrating the benefits of C2SIM interoperability over a great number of events that targeted large audiences.





# **Key Audiences**

1) NATO Partners	ACT			
	NATO Consultation, Command and Control Agency (NC3A)			
	NATO Industrial Advisory Group (NIAG)			
	NATO Underwater Research Centre (NURC)			
	Allied Command Operations (ACO)			
2) National Representatives	Conference of National Armament Directors (CNAD)			
	Agile Mission Group (NRF)			
	NATO Military Committee			
	Nations (customers)			
	National Modelling and Simulation Coordination Offices			
3) NATO RTA bodies whose	Applied Vehicle Technology (AVT) Panel			
activities largely depend on M&S	Human Factors and Medicine (HFM) Panel			
capabilities as well as Net-Enabled	Information Systems Technology (IST) Panel			
Capabilities	NATO Modelling and Simulation Group (NMSG)			
	System Analysis and Studies (SAS) Panel			
	Systems Concepts and Integration (SCI) Panel			
	Sensors and Electronics Technology (SET) Panel			
4) The warfighters and national representatives associated with	Warfighters at all levels, including planners, decision-makers, analysts/scientists, involved in the following:			
M&S of any NEC, from "start to finish"	CD&E			
	Acquisition, T&E, Logistics			
	Operations			
	Training and Exercises			
	Joint Multi-national and Inter-Agency Activities			
	Force Development, Force Generation, Force Employment			
5) International C2 and Simulation	MIP			
Interoperability Standards Organizations	SISO C-BML Product Development Group			
	SISO MSDL Product Development Group			
	SISO C2SIM Product Development Group			





## **MSG-085** Membership List

## Point of Contact at NATO Modelling and Simulation Coordination Office (MSCO)

## **MSCO Head**

Dr. Francisco J. GOMEZ RAMOS, LtCol Spanish Army Tel.: +33 1 55 61 22 90 Fax: +33 1 55 61 96 29 Email: Francisco.Gomez-Ramos@cso.nato.int

## **MSG-085 LEAD NATIONS**

### CANADA

Kevin HEFFNER (Co-Chair) Tel.: +1 514 360 4920 Email: k.heffner@peretec.com FRANCE Lionel KHIMECHE (Co-Chair) Tel.: +33 1 79 86 45 46 Email: lionel.khimeche@intradef.gouv.fr

## NATIONAL MSG-085 POC

### BELGIUM

Patrick L'HOIR Tel.: +32 496 52 85 15 Email: patrick.lhoir@rma.ac.be

## GERMANY

Daniel GREITEN Tel.: +49 261 133 54 8240 Email: danielgreiten@bundeswehr.org

### NORWAY Ole Martin MEVASSVIK Tel.: +47 63 80 74 23 Email: ole-martin.mevassvik@ffi.no

## SWEDEN

Per GUSTAVSSON Tel.: +46 36 388662 Email: per.m.gustavsson@saabgroup.com

#### **UNITED KINGDOM** Bharatkumar PATEL

Tel.: +447770 740154 Email: bpatel@dstl.gov.uk **DENMARK** Karl Johan SIMONSEN Tel.: +45 39 15 17 79 Email: kjsimonsen@mil.dk

## NETHERLANDS

Nico DE REUS Tel.: +31 70 374 02 36 Email: nico.dereus@tno.nl

## SPAIN

Leopoldo SANTOS Tel.: +34 9117 42443 Email: lsantos@et.md.es

## TURKEY

Hakan SAVASAN Tel.: +90 216 493 8283 Email: savasan.h4464@dzkk.tsk.tr

## USA

Jeffrey GAVLINSKI Tel.: +1 703 604 0255 Email: jeffrey.gavlinski@us.army.mil





REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					
1. Recipient's Ro	eference	2. Originator's References	3. Further Reference	4. Security Classification of Document	
		STO-TR-MSG-085	ISBN		
		AC/323(MSG-085)TP/640	978-92-837-2017-1	PUBLIC RELEASE	
5. Originator Science and Technology Organization North Atlantic Treaty Organization BP 25, F-92201 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex, France					
6. Title Standardisation for C2-Simulation Interoperation					
7. Presented at/Sponsored by					
Final Report of MSG-085.					
8. Author(s)/Editor(s)				9. Date	
Multiple				November 2015	
10. Author's/Editor's Address				11. Pages	
Multiple				120	
12. Distribution StatementThere are no restrictions on the distribution of this document. Information about the availability of this and other STO unclassified publications is given on the back cover.					
13. Keywords/Descriptors					
Autonomous air operations		perations Joint	mission planning	MSDL	
C2SIM		Land	operations	OCD	
C-BML DSEED		Mari	aging infrastructure	SINEX	
ICCRTS		MIM		STANAG	
14. Abstract					

The interoperation between Command and Control (C2) systems and simulation systems is a common theme in the transformation of modern military forces. This is required to support the military enterprise in the execution of business activities and mission threads such as forces readiness, decision support and acquisition. This implies the ability to seamlessly integrate C2 and simulation systems and to provide the means for a meaningful and unambiguous information exchange. This applies to systems of systems functioning toward a common goal at different levels: 1) within services; 2) across services; (i.e. joint) and 3) across Nations in a multi-national or coalition context.

In 2010, the NATO Research and Technology Organization started the three-year Modeling and Simulation Task Group "Standardisation for C2-Simulation Interoperation" to assess and document the C2 and Simulation interoperability standards developed by SISO to be used for multiple military applications. This final report documents the completed work of this Task Group, designated MSG-085. It includes the continued progress made to demonstrate the utility of C2-Simulation interoperability. This report leverages the knowledge of C2-Simulation experts to merge current standards towards a unified, more manageable and easier to deploy C2SIM interoperability.







#### NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION



BP 25

F-92201 NEUILLY-SUR-SEINE CEDEX • FRANCE Télécopie 0(1)55.61.22.99 • E-mail mailbox@cso.nato.int



### DIFFUSION DES PUBLICATIONS

STO NON CLASSIFIEES

Les publications de l'AGARD, de la RTO et de la STO peuvent parfois être obtenues auprès des centres nationaux de distribution indiqués ci-dessous. Si vous souhaitez recevoir toutes les publications de la STO, ou simplement celles qui concernent certains Panels, vous pouvez demander d'être inclus soit à titre personnel, soit au nom de votre organisation, sur la liste d'envoi.

Les publications de la STO, de la RTO et de l'AGARD sont également en vente auprès des agences de vente indiquées ci-dessous.

Les demandes de documents STO, RTO ou AGARD doivent comporter la dénomination « STO », « RTO » ou « AGARD » selon le cas, suivi du numéro de série. Des informations analogues, telles que le titre est la date de publication sont souhaitables.

Si vous souhaitez recevoir une notification électronique de la disponibilité des rapports de la STO au fur et à mesure de leur publication, vous pouvez consulter notre site Web (http://www.sto.nato.int/) et vous abonner à ce service.

#### CENTRES DE DI

#### ALLEMAGNE

Streitkräfteamt / Abteilung III Fachinformationszentrum der Bundeswehr (FIZBw) Gorch-Fock-Straße 7, D-53229 Bonn

#### BELGIQUE

Royal High Institute for Defence – KHID/IRSD/RHID Management of Scientific & Technological Research for Defence, National STO Coordinator Royal Military Academy – Campus Renaissance Renaissancelaan 30, 1000 Bruxelles

#### BULGARIE

Ministry of Defence Defence Institute "Prof. Zvetan Lazarov" Blvd "Totleben" 34 1606 Sofia

#### CANADA

DGSIST Recherche et développement pour la défense Canada 101 Colonel By Drive, 6 CBS Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K2

#### DANEMARK

Danish Acquisition and Logistics Organization (DALO) Lautrupbjerg 1-5 2750 Ballerup

#### ESPAGNE

SDGTECIN (DGAM) C/ Arturo Soria 289 Madrid 28033

#### ESTONIE

Estonian National Defence College Centre for Applied Research Riia str 12 Tartu 51013

#### ETATS-UNIS

Defense Technical Information Center 8725 John J. Kingman Road Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

#### **CENTRES DE DIFFUSION NATIONAUX**

#### FRANCE

O.N.E.R.A. (ISP) 29, Avenue de la Division Leclerc BP 72 92322 Châtillon Cedex

#### **GRECE** (Correspondant)

Defence Industry & Research General Directorate, Research Directorate Fakinos Base Camp, S.T.G. 1020 Holargos, Athens

#### HONGRIE

Hungarian Ministry of Defence Development and Logistics Agency P.O.B. 25 H-1885 Budapest

#### ITALIE

Centro Gestione Conoscenza Secretariat General of Defence National Armaments Directorate Via XX Settembre 123/A 00187 Roma

#### LUXEMBOURG Voir Belgique

#### NORVEGE

Norwegian Defence Research Establishment Attn: Biblioteket P.O. Box 25 NO-2007 Kjeller

#### PAYS-BAS

Royal Netherlands Military Academy Library P.O. Box 90.002 4800 PA Breda

#### POLOGNE

Centralna Biblioteka Wojskowa ul. Ostrobramska 109 04-041 Warszawa

### AGENCES DE VENTE

The British Library Document Supply Centre Boston Spa, Wetherby West Yorkshire LS23 7BQ ROYAUME-UNI Canada Institute for Scientific and Technical Information (CISTI) National Research Council Acquisitions Montreal Road, Building M-55 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S2 CANADA

Les demandes de documents STO, RTO ou AGARD doivent comporter la dénomination « STO », « RTO » ou « AGARD » selon le cas, suivie du numéro de série (par exemple AGARD-AG-315). Des informations analogues, telles que le titre et la date de publication sont souhaitables. Des références bibliographiques complètes ainsi que des résumés des publications STO, RTO et AGARD figurent dans le « NTIS Publications Database » (http://www.ntis.gov).

#### PORTUGAL

Estado Maior da Força Aérea SDFA – Centro de Documentação Alfragide P-2720 Amadora

#### **REPUBLIQUE TCHEQUE**

Vojenský technický ústav s.p. CZ Distribution Information Centre Mladoboleslavská 944 PO Box 18 197 06 Praha 9

#### ROUMANIE

Romanian National Distribution Centre Armaments Department 9-11, Drumul Taberei Street Sector 6 061353 Bucharest

#### **ROYAUME-UNI**

Dstl Knowledge and Information Services Building 247 Porton Down, Salisbury SP4 0JQ

#### SLOVAQUIE

Akadémia ozbrojených síl gen. M.R. Štefánika, Distribučné a informačné stredisko STO Demänová 393 031 06 Liptovský Mikuláš 6

#### SLOVENIE

Ministry of Defence Central Registry for EU & NATO Vojkova 55 1000 Ljubljana

#### TURQUIE Milli Savunma Bakanlığı (MSB) ARGE ve Teknoloji Dairesi

Başkanlığı 06650 Bakanliklar – Ankara

#### NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION



BP 25 F-92201 NEUILLY-SUR-SEINE CEDEX • FRANCE Télécopie 0(1)55.61.22.99 • E-mail mailbox@cso.nato.int





### DISTRIBUTION OF UNCLASSIFIED STO PUBLICATIONS

#### STOPUBLICATIONS

AGARD, RTO & STO publications are sometimes available from the National Distribution Centres listed below. If you wish to receive all STO reports, or just those relating to one or more specific STO Panels, they may be willing to include you (or your Organisation) in their distribution. STO, RTO and AGARD reports may also be purchased from the Sales Agencies listed below.

Requests for STO, RTO or AGARD documents should include the word 'STO', 'RTO' or 'AGARD', as appropriate, followed by the serial number. Collateral information such as title and publication date is desirable.

If you wish to receive electronic notification of STO reports as they are published, please visit our website (http://www.sto.nato.int/) from where you can register for this service.

### NATIONAL DISTRIBUTION CENTRES

#### BELGIUM

Royal High Institute for Defence – KHID/IRSD/ RHID

Management of Scientific & Technological Research for Defence, National STO Coordinator Royal Military Academy – Campus Renaissance Renaissancelaan 30 1000 Brussels

#### BULGARIA

Ministry of Defence Defence Institute "Prof. Zvetan Lazarov" Blvd "Totleben" 34 1606 Sofia

#### CANADA

DSTKIM Defence Research and Development Canada 101 Colonel By Drive, 6 CBS Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K2

#### CZECH REPUBLIC

Vojenský technický ústav s.p. CZ Distribution Information Centre Mladoboleslavská 944 PO Box 18 197 06 Praha 9

#### DENMARK

Danish Acquisition and Logistics Organization (DALO) Lautrupbjerg 1-5 2750 Ballerup

#### ESTONIA

Estonian National Defence College Centre for Applied Research Riia str 12 Tartu 51013

#### FRANCE

O.N.E.R.A. (ISP) 29, Avenue de la Division Leclerc – BP 72 92322 Châtillon Cedex

#### GERMANY

Streitkräfteamt / Abteilung III Fachinformationszentrum der Bundeswehr (FIZBw) Gorch-Fock-Straße 7 D-53229 Bonn

#### **GREECE (Point of Contact)**

Defence Industry & Research General Directorate, Research Directorate Fakinos Base Camp, S.T.G. 1020 Holargos, Athens

#### HUNGARY

Hungarian Ministry of Defence Development and Logistics Agency P.O.B. 25 H-1885 Budapest

#### ITALY

Centro Gestione Conoscenza Secretariat General of Defence National Armaments Directorate Via XX Settembre 123/A 00187 Roma

LUXEMBOURG See Belgium

#### NETHERLANDS

Royal Netherlands Military Academy Library P.O. Box 90.002 4800 PA Breda

#### NORWAY

Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, Attn: Biblioteket P.O. Box 25 NO-2007 Kjeller

#### POLAND

Centralna Biblioteka Wojskowa ul. Ostrobramska 109 04-041 Warszawa

SALES AGENCIES

### PORTUGAL

Estado Maior da Força Aérea SDFA – Centro de Documentação Alfragide P-2720 Amadora

#### ROMANIA

Romanian National Distribution Centre Armaments Department 9-11, Drumul Taberei Street Sector 6 061353 Bucharest

#### SLOVAKIA

Akadémia ozbrojených síl gen M.R. Štefánika, Distribučné a informačné stredisko STO Demänová 393 031 06 Liptovský Mikuláš 6

#### SLOVENIA

Ministry of Defence Central Registry for EU & NATO Vojkova 55 1000 Ljubljana

#### SPAIN

SDGTECIN (DGAM) C/ Arturo Soria 289 Madrid 28033

#### TURKEY

Milli Savunma Bakanlığı (MSB) ARGE ve Teknoloji Dairesi Başkanlığı 06650 Bakanlıklar – Ankara

#### UNITED KINGDOM

Dstl Knowledge and Information Services Building 247 Porton Down, Salisbury SP4 0JQ

#### UNITED STATES

Defense Technical Information Center 8725 John J. Kingman Road Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

The British Library Document Supply Centre Boston Spa, Wetherby West Yorkshire LS23 7BQ UNITED KINGDOM Canada Institute for Scientific and Technical Information (CISTI) National Research Council Acquisitions Montreal Road, Building M-55 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S2 CANADA

Requests for STO, RTO or AGARD documents should include the word 'STO', 'RTO' or 'AGARD', as appropriate, followed by the serial number (for example AGARD-AG-315). Collateral information such as title and publication date is desirable. Full bibliographical references and abstracts of STO, RTO and AGARD publications are given in "NTIS Publications Database" (http://www.ntis.gov).